

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

OTT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,041	12/12/2001	James E. Van Scoyoc	EDWA-001 6545		
7590 01/30/2004			EXAMINER		
Michael S. Neustel			SAETHER, FLEMMING		
Suite No. 4 2534 South University Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fargo, ND 58			3679		
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

×.					1//			
•		Application	n No.	plicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/017,04	1	SCOYOC, JAMES	EVON			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	•	Flemming	Saether	3679				
	The MAILING DATE of this communi			<u>L</u>	ress			
Period fo	or Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION in sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3d period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication. 0) days, a reply within the statu atutory period will apply and wil will, by statute, cause the appl	int, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>01 December 20</u>	<u>003</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is no	n-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6,7 and 9-21</u> is/are pe	ending in the applicati	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-20 is/ar	e withdrawn from con	sideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,7,9-11 and 21</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/017,041

Art Unit: 3679

Election/Restriction

Claims 12-20 remain withdrawn as being directed to a not elected group. .

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 4, 6, 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hindle (H1258) in view of Schiefer (US 4,730,966) and Terrizzi (US 5,407,312). Hindle discloses a fluid flow bolt (see Fig 3) comprising a enlarged head (32) and a plurality of three equally spaced channels (50) formed on the shank extending from an end towards the head completely through the threading (see Fig. 3). The channels formed to have a depth shown to be at least 15% greater than the thread height. Hindle does not disclose the channels having a V-shape nor the channels being in a spiral configuration along the shank. Schiefer discloses channels (13') formed in a threaded portion of a bolt to have a V-shaped cross-section (see Fig. 3). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the channel of Hindle in a V-shape as disclosed in Schiefer in order to save on material as discussed therein. Terrizzi teaches the equivalence of spiral (Fig. 8) and longitudinal channels (Fig. 1). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to make the longitudinal channel of Hindle in a spiral in view of the teaching of Terrizzi.

Claims 3 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hindle in view of Schiefer as applied to claims 1, 4, 6, 7 and 9-11 above, and further in

Art Unit: 3679

view of Cook (US 2,037,066). Modified Hindle discloses the V-shaped grooves having a rounded narrow portion (see Fig. 3 of Schiefer) but does not disclose the rounded broad ends. Cook teaches to form the form the outer ends of a channel as rounded (at 16, 17). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the channels of modified Hindle with rounded outer ends as disclosed in Cook in order to provide smooth edges. The smooth edges are described as preventing injury (column 2, lines 43-47) which would have been found desirable in modified Hindle.

Response to Remarks

The rejection under section 102 has been withdrawn in view of the amendment to claim 1. However, the rejection under section 103 has been maintained.

Applicant argues that Hindle does not disclose the spiral V-shaped channel. As noted in the above rejection, the examiner agrees but, also as in the above rejection the examiner maintains that Terrizzi and Schiefer fairly teach the features lacking in Hindle.

The examiner agrees with applicant's understanding of Terrizzi but, nonetheless the reference still teaches that it was known at the time the invention was made to substitute spiral grooves, as seen in Figs. 8, 9 and 11 in Terrizzi, for longitudinal grooves, as seen in Figs. 1 and 2 in Terrizzi. As such the skilled artisan would have recognized the substitution also in Hindle. Furthermore, the examiner disagrees that

Art Unit: 3679

Terrizzi is non-analogous art because both Hindle and Terrizzi are externally threaded screws and therefore, the skilled artisan would have recognized the teachings of both.

Applicant lastly argues that Schiefer does not disclose the V-shaped channels that are spiral in structure. In response, Schiefer is only relied upon for the V-shaped channel. The V-shaped channel is disclosed in Terrizzi. In that regard, looking at Fig. 3 in Schiefer the V-shaped channels are clearly shown.

Conclusion

This action is not made final because the rounded broad ends should have been rejected in the previous office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 703-308-0182. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.